



**MARICOPA
ASSOCIATION of
GOVERNMENTS**

302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 • Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Phone (602) 254-6300 • FAX (602) 254-6490
E-mail: mag@mag.maricopa.gov • Web site: www.mag.maricopa.gov

September 26, 2006

TO: Members of the MAG Management Committee

FROM: Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF TENTATIVE AGENDA

Wednesday, October 4, 2006 - noon to 1:00 p.m. (Meeting will begin promptly at noon)
MAG Office, Suite 200 - Saguaro Room
302 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix

The next Management Committee meeting will be held at the MAG offices at the time and place noted above. Members of the Management Committee may attend the meeting either in person, by videoconference or by telephone conference call. The agenda and summaries are being transmitted to the members of the Regional Council to foster increased dialogue regarding the agenda items between members of the Management Committee and Regional Council. You are encouraged to review the supporting information enclosed. Lunch will be provided at a nominal cost.

Please park in the garage under the building, bring your ticket, parking will be validated. For those using transit, Valley Metro/RPTA will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting Valerie Day at the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Members are reminded of the importance of attendance by yourself or a proxy. Any time that a quorum is not present, we cannot conduct the meeting. Please set aside sufficient time for the meeting, and for all matters to be reviewed and acted upon by the Management Committee. Your presence and vote count.

c: MAG Regional Council

A Voluntary Association of Local Governments in Maricopa County

City of Apache Junction • City of Avondale • Town of Buckeye • Town of Carefree • Town of Cave Creek • City of Chandler • City of El Mirage • Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation • Town of Fountain Hills • Town of Gila Bend
Gila River Indian Community • Town of Gilbert • City of Glendale • City of Goodyear • Town of Guadalupe • City of Litchfield Park • Maricopa County • City of Mesa • Town of Paradise Valley • City of Peoria • City of Phoenix
Town of Queen Creek • Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community • City of Scottsdale • City of Surprise • City of Tempe • City of Tolleson • Town of Wickenburg • Town of Youngtown • Arizona Department of Transportation

**MAG MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
TENTATIVE AGENDA
October 4, 2006**

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

1. Call to Order

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Call to the Audience

An opportunity is provided to the public to address the Management Committee on items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only. Citizens will be requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless the Management Committee requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard.

4. Approval of Consent Agenda

Prior to action on the consent agenda, members of the audience will be provided an opportunity to comment on consent items that are being presented for action. Following the comment period, Committee members may request that an item be removed from the consent agenda. Consent items are marked with an asterisk (*).

3. Information.

4. Recommend approval of the Consent Agenda.

ITEMS PROPOSED FOR CONSENT*

*4A. Approval of September 6, 2006 Meeting Minutes

4A. Review and approval of the September 6, 2006 meeting minutes.

*4B. 9-1-1 Budget Request to the Arizona Department of Administration for Equipment and Operating Funds

Each year, the Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) Managers submit inventory and upgrade requests that are used to develop a five year equipment program that forecasts future 9-1-1 equipment needs of the region and will enable

4B. Recommend approval of the MAG FY 2008 PSAP Annual Element/Funding Request and FY 2008-2012 Equipment Program for submittal to the Arizona Department of Administration.

MAG to provide estimates of future funding needs to the Arizona Department of Administration (ADOA). The ADOA Order of Adoption stipulates allowable funding under the Emergency Telecommunications Services Revolving Fund. The MAG 9-1-1 PSAP Managers and the MAG 9-1-1 Oversight Team recommended approval of the FY 2008 PSAP Annual Element/Funding Request and FY 2008-2012 Equipment Program. Please refer to the enclosed material.

***4C. Amendment to the Approved List of Consultants for the MAG ITS/Traffic Engineering/Transportation Safety On-Call Services**

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2006, includes projects to be launched in the areas of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), Traffic Engineering and Transportation Safety. Approximately 21 projects, in nine areas of technical expertise, will be carried out using a list of On-Call consultants that are qualified in each area of technical expertise. This list of On-Call consultants was approved by the Regional Council Executive Committee at its meeting on February 13, 2006. The MAG ITS Committee, at its January 4, 2006 meeting, had recommended ten consultants for the Traffic Engineering area of expertise. However, the list of recommended consultants that was provided for subsequent Management Committee and Executive Committee consideration had inadvertently left out two firms that ranked at #9 and #10 in the area of Traffic Engineering. The approved list of On-Call consultants needs to be amended to include these two firms. Please refer to the enclosed material.

***4D. Consultant Selection for the MAG Commuter Rail Strategic Plan**

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2006, includes funding to develop a Commuter Rail Strategic Plan for the MAG urbanized area. Key tasks include conducting a SWOT Analysis (Strengths,

4C. Recommend approval of an amendment that would add Works Consulting and HDR, Inc. to the approved list of consultants qualified to carry out Traffic Engineering projects under the MAG ITS/Traffic Engineering, Transportation Safety On-Call services.

4D. Recommend approval of the selection of URS Corporation to develop the MAG Commuter Rail Strategic Plan for an amount not to exceed \$300,000.

Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats) and developing a strategic implementation plan. MAG issued a Request for Qualifications on July 14, 2006, and received three responses. A multi-agency evaluation team reviewed the Statements of Qualifications and conducted consultant interviews. The evaluation team recommended to MAG that URS Corporation be selected to develop the Commuter Rail Strategic Plan for an amount not to exceed \$300,000. Please refer to the enclosed material.

*4E. Prioritized List of Proposed PM-10 Certified Street Sweeper Projects for FY 2007 CMAQ Funding

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program contain \$1,440,000 in Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funding for the purchase of PM-10 certified street sweepers. PM-10 certified street sweeper projects were solicited from member agencies in the Maricopa County PM-10 nonattainment area and 12 applications requesting \$1.95 million in federal funds were received. On September 28, 2006, the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee may make a recommendation on a prioritized list of proposed PM-10 certified street sweeper projects for FY 2007 CMAQ funding. The action taken by the Committee will be forwarded to the Management Committee. Please refer to the enclosed material.

*4F. Pedestrian and Bicycle Design Assistance Programs

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2006, includes \$200,000 for the Pedestrian Design Assistance Program and \$300,000 for the Bicycle/Shared-Use Design Assistance Program. Ten project applications were submitted by member agencies for the program. On September 19, 2006, the MAG Bicycle Task Force and the MAG Pedestrian Working Group recommended nine projects for approval. This item is on the September 28, 2006

4E. Recommend approval of a prioritized list of proposed PM-10 certified street sweeper projects for FY 2007 CMAQ funding and retain the prioritized list for any additional FY 2007 CMAQ funds that may become available due to year-end closeout, including any redistributed obligation authority, or additional funding received by this region.

4F. Recommend approval of the following projects for funding from the Pedestrian Design Assistance Program: City of Avondale - Van Buren Connection Pedestrian Project, \$46,000; Town of Buckeye - Eason and 7th Street Pedestrian Project, \$24,000; Town of Fountain Hills - Four Peaks Elementary School Sidewalk Project, \$45,000; Town of Gilbert - Gilbert Industrial Pedestrian Campus, \$50,000; City of Mesa - Adobe Road Pedestrian Project, \$35,000; and recommend approval of the following projects for funding from the Bicycle/Shared Use Design Assistance Program: City of Avondale - Bridge Bicycle Design Project, \$75,000; Town of Gilbert - Bicycle

Transportation Review Committee agenda. The action taken by the Committee will be forwarded to the Management Committee. Please refer to the enclosed material.

***4G. Bicycle and Pedestrian Design Assistance On-Call Consultant List**

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2006, includes \$200,000 for the Pedestrian Design Assistance Program and \$300,000 for the Bicycle/Shared-Use Design Assistance Program. The Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List provides member agencies with a pre-approved consultant list to provide assistance for their design project. A request for consultants to submit Statements of Qualifications was sent out in early July and submittals were received at MAG on July 31, 2006. A multi-agency evaluation team reviewed the applications and recommended to MAG that A Dye Design; e group; HDR Engineering, Inc.; J2 Engineering and Environmental Design; Otak; and Sherman Group, Inc. be selected for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List. On September 19, 2006, the MAG Bicycle Task Force and the MAG Pedestrian Working Group recommended the selection of the consultant list. Please refer to the enclosed material.

***4H. Proposed Amendments to the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program Contract**

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes \$594,000 in consultant funding for the Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program. The Regional Public Transportation Authority has indicated that \$47,346.63 will be remaining on the Federal FY 2006 Regional Rideshare Program Contract due to a timing issue with manufacturing and installing the Rideshare/Transit Info, Call 511 freeway signs. To

Crossing Improvement and Safety Demonstration Project, \$75,000; City of Mesa - Longmore Shared-Use Path Project, \$75,000; City of Phoenix - Little Canyon Shared-Use and Canal Path Project, \$75,000.

4G. Recommend approval of the selection of the following consultants for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List: A Dye Design; e group; HDR Engineering, Inc.; J2 Engineering and Environmental Design; Otak; and Sherman Group, Inc.

4H. Recommend amending the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the Federal FY 2007 Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program Contract to add \$47,346.63.

complete this project in Federal FY 2007, it is necessary to amend the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the Federal FY 2007 Regional Rideshare Program Contract to add the \$47,346.63. This would revise the total funding for the Federal FY 2007 Regional Rideshare Program Contract to \$641,346.63. Please refer to the enclosed material.

*4l. Efforts to Address the Impact of Domestic Violence on Youth

The Maricopa Association of Governments Regional Domestic Violence Council and Human Services Coordinating Committee Youth Policy Stakeholders Group are partnering on a project to address the impact of domestic violence on youth. The Youth Empowerment Project, funded by the Governor's Office, Division for Women, will promote messages developed by teens for teens about resources for healthy relationships. This item is presented for information and discussion in honor of Domestic Violence Awareness Month. Please refer to the enclosed material.

4l. Information and discussion.

ITEMS PROPOSED TO BE HEARD

5. FY 2007 MAG Early Phase Input Opportunity Report

As part of its four-phase public involvement process, the Maricopa Association of Governments conducted the FY 2007 Early Phase Input Opportunity from August through September 2006. Opportunities for input during the phase included an Early Phase Stakeholders Meeting, Chicanos Por La Causa Business Seminar in Spanish and several I-17 Road Shows. Most of these opportunities were conducted in conjunction with the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), Regional Public Transportation Authority (Valley Metro) and Valley Metro Rail (METRO). Staff members from all of the agencies were available during these events to answer questions, receive suggestions and respond to comments related to the Valley's transportation system. A summary of input and all

5. Information and discussion.

correspondence received during the phase is available for review in the Draft FY 2007 Early Phase Input Opportunity Report. Please refer to the enclosed material.

6. Statewide Transportation Acceleration Needs (STAN) Account

House Bill 2865 created the Statewide Transportation Acceleration Needs (STAN) account that provides \$307 million to be used for the acceleration of the construction or reconstruction of freeways, state highways, bridges, and interchanges that are included in the Regional Transportation Plan. The Maricopa County region will receive 60 percent (\$184 million) of the fund, the Pima County area 16 percent, and the remainder of the state 24 percent. The legislation requires that the funds for this region be allocated to projects in the MAG Regional Transportation Plan. On September 20, 2006, the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) had a general discussion on the STAN account. A summary of the discussion by the TPC on the STAN account will be provided.

7. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for Management Committee members to present a brief summary of current events. The Management Committee is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

6. Information and discussion.

7. Information.

MINUTES OF THE
MAG MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

September 6, 2006

MAG Office Building - Saguaro Room

Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair	Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair	Jim Huling for Christopher Brady, Mesa
# George Hoffman, Apache Junction	* Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley
Charlie McClendon, Avondale	John Wendersky for Terry Ellis, Peoria
Jeanine Guy, Buckeye	Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix
* Jon Pearson, Carefree	John Kross, Queen Creek
* Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek	* Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa
Mark Pentz, Chandler	Indian Community
Mark Fooks for B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage	Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise
Alfonso Rodriguez for Orlando Moreno, Fort	Amber Wakeman for Will Manley, Tempe
McDowell Yavapai Nation	* Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
# Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills	# Shane Dille, Wickenburg
* Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend	Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown
* Joseph Manuel, Gila River Indian	Dale Buskirk for Victor Mendez, ADOT
Community	Mike Ellegood for David Smith,
George Pettit, Gilbert	Maricopa County
* Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear	David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA
Mark Johnson, Guadalupe	

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

Participated by telephone conference call.

+ Participated by videoconference call.

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chair Ed Beasley at 12:03 p.m.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Chair Beasley announced that George Hoffman from Apache Junction, Tim Pickering from Fountain Hills, Shane Dille from Wickenburg were attending the meeting via teleconference.

Chair Beasley introduced and welcomed Lloyce Robinson, the new Town Manager for Youngtown, and Jeanine Guy, Buckeye Community Services Director, who is fulfilling Management Committee duties for the Town.

Chair Beasley presented a Resolution of Appreciation to George Pettit for his leadership in helping the region achieve a successful 2005 Census Survey. Mr. Pettit thanked the Committee for honoring him. He stated that it had truly been a team effort and expressed his thanks to MAG staff, Heidi Pahl and Harry Wolfe, for all of their work on the Survey. Mr. Pettit expressed his appreciation for the opportunity to serve.

Chair Beasley noted that MAG staff was available to assist members of the public in turning in their public comment cards, who will bring the cards to him.

Chair Beasley stated that transit tickets were available from Valley Metro/RPTA for those using transit to come to the meeting. Parking validation was available from MAG staff for those who parked in the parking garage.

Chair Beasley noted that for agenda item #4I, the rankings of the auxiliary applications by the MAG FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Committee were at each place.

3. Call to the Audience

Chair Beasley stated that Call to the Audience provides an opportunity to the public to address the Management Committee on items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only. Chair Beasley noted that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard. Public comments have a three minute time limit and there is a timer to help the public with their presentations. Chair Beasley stated that for members of the audience who wish to speak, comment cards were available from the staff. Chair Beasley noted that no public comment cards had been turned in.

4. Approval of Consent Agenda

Chair Beasley stated that public comment would be heard before action was taken on the consent items. Each speaker is provided with a total of three minutes to comment on the consent agenda. After hearing public comments, any member of the Committee can request that an item be removed from the consent agenda and considered individually. Chair Beasley stated that agenda items #4A, #4B, #4C, #4D, #4E, #4F, #4G, #4H, #4I, #4J, and #4K were on the consent agenda. He noted that no public comment cards had been turned in. There were no requests from the Committee to hear an item individually.

Vice Chair Dolan moved to recommend approval of the Consent Agenda. Mr. Ellegood seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

Dennis Smith offered clarification on the requested action for agenda item #4D. He explained that the agenda item noted that the Avondale pedestrian design project needed to be changed

from an Avondale project to a MAG project in the FY 2007-2011 MAG TIP in order to proceed. Mr. Smith added that this was not reflected in the action, which would be modified as the item goes forward through the MAG committee process.

4A. Approval of July 12, 2006 Meeting Minutes

The Management Committee, by consent, approved the July 12, 2006 meeting minutes.

4B. ADOT Red Letter Process

In June 1996, the MAG Regional Council approved the ADOT Red Letter process, which requires MAG member agencies to notify ADOT of potential development activities in freeway alignments. Development activities include actions on plans, zoning and permits. ADOT has forwarded a list of notifications from January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2006. Upon request any of the notices can be removed from the consent agenda and returned for action at a future meeting. ADOT received 381 Red Letter notifications in the period from January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2006. In addition to the 125 separate examples attached, ADOT has requested a complete set of plans for the developments and a plan review on an additional 122 notifications. The 122 additional notices included zoning changes and/or general plan amendments that would put future developments adjacent or very close to ADOT right-of-way that would cause concerns. The ADOT Red Letter coordinator also received 52 telephone, mail, and/or email notifications of possible impact to the State Highway System. The 52 telephone, mail, and/or email notifications consisted of 19 notifications on the Estrella Corridor, 303 Loop, 20 on the South Mountain, 202 Loop, and 13 on the I-10 Reliever. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion.

4C. Proposed Amendment to the FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for Highway and Transit Projects

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of an Amendment and/or Administrative Adjustment to the FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program to add one new Phoenix safety project, deferring and combining three Tempe multi-use path projects and adding several new transit projects, plus making several changes to existing transit projects and arterial life cycle program projects as shown in the attached tables. The FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) was approved by the MAG Regional Council on July 26, 2006. Since that time, one project has been identified that needs to be added to the TIP, three projects need to be deferred, and several projects need to have the funds adjusted. An Amendment is required to add the new project and an Administrative Adjustment is needed to list the deferrals and the funding changes. All of the proposed changes may be categorized as exempt projects or minor project revisions for which an air quality conformity analysis is not required. Consultation on the conformity assessment for the proposed changes is considered under a separate agenda item. The Transportation Review Committee recommended approval of the proposed amendment.

4D. Proposed Adjustment to the FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and Amendment to the FY 2007 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of an Amendment to the FY 2007 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to add the Avondale pedestrian design assistance project, to remove the Pave Dirt Road project item from the FY 2007 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, and to approve an Administrative Adjustment to the FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program to remove the MAG listed Pave Dirt Road project. On June 28, 2006, the MAG Regional Council approved the closeout of the FFY 2006, which included allocating additional funds for an Avondale pedestrian design project. On July 26, 2006, the Regional Council approved the FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which authorized the Avondale project. In order for the project to proceed, the project agency must be adjusted in the TIP changing it from an Avondale project to a MAG project, and the project must be amended into the FY 2007 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget. When the FY 2007 Work Program was approved, it contained a Pave Dirt Road project. The Pave Dirt Road project was duplicated in the 2007-2011 TIP as one MAG project and as three jurisdictional projects for Cave Creek, Chandler and Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation. It is proposed to remove the MAG Pave Dirt Road project from the TIP and to amend the FY 2007 Work Program to remove the Pave Dirt Road project.

4E. Amendment of Valley Metro Rail Contract

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended amending the Valley Metro Rail contract to increase the budget by \$15,000 to have the MAG Travel Demand Model consultant from PB Consult participate in the peer review process. The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the Regional Council in May 2006, includes a work element to study the 58-mile light rail system configuration and how it will operate. Valley Metro Rail is responsible for this task. The MAG Travel Demand Model will be used to update the demand projections. A peer review of the model is being conducted in October 2006. Valley Metro Rail is requesting that the consultant who developed substantial parts of the model be involved in the peer review. This consultant would provide advice to Valley Metro Rail and MAG for any model refinements that may be recommended through the peer review process. It is proposed that the Valley Metro Rail contract be increased by \$15,000 to provide funding for the model consultant from PB Consult to participate in the peer review process.

4F. Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP) – Status Report

Each quarter, MAG staff will provide member agencies with an update on projects in the Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP). This is the second Status Report (covering the period from April to June of 2006) for the ALCP. The Status Report includes an update on ALCP Project work, the FY 2007 ALCP schedule, an ALCP revenue/financial section, and information on the Arterial Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Program. This item was on the agenda for information and discussion.

4G. Conformity Consultation

The Maricopa Association of Governments is conducting consultation on a conformity assessment for an amendment to the FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program. The proposed amendment includes a new City of Phoenix safety improvement project located on Hatcher Road between 19th Avenue and Cave Creek Road in FY 2007. In addition, the amendment includes a new City of Tempe Western Canal multi-use path project in FY 2007. The amendment includes projects exempt from conformity determinations and minor project revisions that do not require a conformity determination. Comments on the conformity assessment are requested by September 22, 2006. This item was on the agenda for consultation.

4H. Consultant Selection for the MAG Air Quality Technical Assistance On-Call Services Request for Qualifications

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the following firms for the Air Quality Technical Assistance On-Call Services for an amount not to exceed \$250,000: E.H. Pechan and Associates be qualified in Air Quality Modeling; ENVIRON be qualified in Analysis of Control Measures, Air Quality Modeling, Implementation of Control Measures, Statistical Analysis of Data, Remote Sensing, Air Quality Plan Preparation, CMAQ Evaluation Methods, and Transportation Conformity; Sierra Research be qualified in Analysis of Control Measures, Air Quality Modeling, Implementation of Control Measures, Surveys and Emissions Inventories, Statistical Analysis of Data, Air Quality Plan Preparation, CMAQ Evaluation Methods, and Transportation Conformity; and that Technical & Business Systems be qualified in Analysis of Control Measures, Air Quality Modeling, Air Quality Monitoring and Meteorology, Statistical Analysis of Data, Remote Sensing, and Air Quality Plan Preparation. The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes potential consultant assistance for air quality planning and modeling activities. Consultant services may be needed to assist MAG in the preparation of the Five Percent Plan for PM-10 and Eight-Hour Ozone Plan due to the Environmental Protection Agency in 2007. A request for qualifications was advertised on July 2, 2006 for technical assistance including air quality modeling and the development of regional air quality plans. Twelve proposals were received by the August 1, 2006 deadline. On August 10, 2006, the consensus of the multi-jurisdictional evaluation team was to recommend to MAG that the following firms be qualified for the Air Quality Technical Assistance On-Call Services: E.H. Pechan and Associates be qualified in Air Quality Modeling; ENVIRON be qualified in Analysis of Control Measures, Air Quality Modeling, Implementation of Control Measures, Statistical Analysis of Data, Remote Sensing, Air Quality Plan Preparation, CMAQ Evaluation Methods, and Transportation Conformity; Sierra Research be qualified in Analysis of Control Measures, Air Quality Modeling, Implementation of Control Measures, Surveys and Emissions Inventories, Statistical Analysis of Data, Air Quality Plan Preparation, CMAQ Evaluation Methods, and Transportation Conformity; and that Technical & Business Systems be qualified in Analysis of Control Measures, Air Quality Modeling, Air Quality Monitoring and Meteorology, Statistical Analysis of Data, Remote Sensing, and Air Quality Plan Preparation.

4I. Recommendations to the Arizona Department of Transportation for the FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Program's Auxiliary Applications

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended forwarding the priority listing of applicants for FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Program to the Arizona Department of Transportation. In August 2006, the Arizona Department of Transportation notified MAG that additional accessible vans were available to agencies transporting elderly and persons with disabilities. These are in addition to the initial award approved by the MAG Regional Council in April 2006. MAG will accept applications for the vans until September 1, 2006. On September 5, 2006, the MAG FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Ad Hoc Committee ranked the auxiliary applications for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 funding.

4J. Request to Support Maintaining Social Service Block Grant Funding

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval to request Congress to not support the 19.722 percent proposed cut to the Social Services Block Grant and to maintain the current funding level. In June 2006, the MAG Regional Council approved revised allocation recommendations for locally planned Social Services Block (SSBG) Grant dollars. This was done in response to a request from the Arizona Department of Economic Security to submit a plan that reflected a 19.722 percent cut being proposed at the federal level. The MAG Human Services Technical Committee and the MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee recommended that Congress be requested to maintain SSBG funding at least at the 2006 level.

4K. Proposed Amendment to the FY 2007 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget for the I-8/I-10 Hidden Valley Roadway Framework Study

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of an amendment to the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to increase the I-8/I-10 Hidden Valley Roadway Framework Study by \$570,000, with the following agencies contributing to the study: Arizona Department of Transportation, \$100,000; Maricopa County Department of Transportation, \$200,000; Pinal County Public Works Department, \$150,000; Town of Buckeye, \$40,000; City of Goodyear, \$40,000; City of Maricopa, \$40,000. The Interstates 8 and 10 Hidden Valley Roadway Framework Study will assess the future demands for Interstates 8 and 10 in the western areas of Maricopa and Pinal Counties. An amendment to the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget is needed to increase the study budget by \$570,000, with the following agencies contributing to the study: Arizona Department of Transportation, \$100,000; Maricopa County Department of Transportation, \$200,000; Pinal County Public Works Department, \$150,000; Town of Buckeye, \$40,000; City of Goodyear, \$40,000; City of Maricopa, \$40,000. The increase will not require additional funds from MAG, and will be paid by the financial participation of the project partners identified above.

5. 2006 Annual Report on Status of the Implementation of Proposition 400

Roger Herzog, MAG Senior Project Manager, addressed the Committee on the 2006 Annual Report on Status of the Implementation of Proposition 400, which is the second report in this

series. He noted that the annual report on the status of projects funded by the half-cent sales tax authorized by Proposition 400, is required by state law. Mr. Herzog stated that state law also requires that a public hearing be held on the annual report. He summarized key findings. Mr. Herzog stated that the Freeway/Highway, Arterial Street, and Transit Life Cycle Programs had been incorporated into the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). He noted that several studies have been initiated for potential future adjustments to the RTP. These studies include the I-10/Hassayampa Valley Roadway Framework Study, the I-8/I-10 Hidden Valley Roadway Framework Study, and the Commuter Rail Strategic Plan.

Mr. Herzog reported on Revenues from Proposition 400. He said that Fiscal Year 2006 receipts from the sales tax were 11.4 percent higher than the estimate in the 2005 Annual Report. Forecasts of future available regional revenues are largely unchanged from the 2005 Annual Report. Mr. Herzog stated that House Bill 2865 created the Statewide Transportation Acceleration Needs (STAN) Account. MAG's share of the available funding will be approximately \$184 million for projects on the State Highway System. Mr. Herzog noted that the Transportation Policy Committee will begin discussing the STAN account at its meeting this month.

Mr. Herzog reported on the FY 2006 Freeway/Highway Life Cycle Program. He said that major progress has been made on finishing the Proposition 300 program with the completion in June of the Santan Freeway and the final Grand Avenue grade separation. Mr. Herzog noted that the Red Mountain Freeway should be completed by mid-2008. He advised that preliminary engineering and environmental analysis are proceeding on Proposition 400 corridors and widenings. Mr. Herzog noted that an I-10 widening project and the Dove Valley traffic interchange on I-17 were accelerated through HELP and GAN loans. He stated that approximately \$58 million was expended on projects in the Proposition 400 freeway/highway program.

Mr. Herzog stated that approximately \$540 million has been programmed for projects scheduled to go to bid for construction in FY 2007. He noted that cost increases for FY 2007-2026 projects, most of which are in the first five years of the plan, total \$252 million. Mr. Herzog stated that estimated future costs of the Freeway/Highway Life Cycle Program are in balance with projected revenues. He noted that revenues exceed costs by approximately \$50 million. Mr. Herzog advised that during the coming fiscal year, significant additional project cost increases may be encountered in the Freeway/Highway Life Cycle Program, as detailed engineering studies are completed.

Mr. Herzog reported on the FY 2006 Arterial Streets Life Cycle Program. He said that the Arterial Street Life Cycle Program was refined and updated during FY 2006. Mr. Herzog noted that more than \$7 million in reimbursements were distributed to local governments and it is anticipated that approximately \$56 million will be distributed in FY 2007. He stated that work is proceeding on a broad range of arterial street projects over the next five years, and added that 52 Arterial Streets projects have been accelerated. Mr. Herzog stated that total estimated future regional reimbursements for projects in the Arterial Street Life Cycle Program are in balance with projected revenues, with revenues exceeding costs by approximately six percent. He advised that given increasing construction costs, concerns are being raised regarding the ability

of jurisdictions to provide full funding for all projects in the program. In addition, the mandatory Federal approval process can be lengthy and may pose schedule risks for projects receiving Federal funds.

Mr. Herzog reported on the FY 2006 Transit Life Cycle Program. He said that the FY 2006-2026 transit program includes 31 Bus Rapid Transit/Express routes, 32 Supergrid routes, and 37.7 miles of extensions to the 20-mile minimum operating segment of the light rail system. Mr. Herzog stated that during FY 2006, funding began for 14 existing Express and four existing RAPID bus routes, ADA paratransit service, and customer service and marketing programs. Also, 62 new coaches and 20 used coaches were purchased. He stated that approximately \$66 million was expended on the Transit Life Cycle Program during FY 2006. Mr. Herzog noted that a broad range of bus planning studies was started to define service concepts in detail and provide improved future cost estimates. During the next five fiscal years, 11 new BRT/Express routes and seven new Super Grid routes will be initiated. In July 2006, service began on the first regionally funded Super Grid route on Scottsdale/Rural Road. Service is also now being provided on rural connector routes. Mr. Herzog stated that construction is continuing on the LRT Minimum Operating Segment (MOS) and service is scheduled to begin in December 2008. He indicated that estimated future costs for the full Transit Life Cycle Program are in balance with projected revenues, and added that recent trends of escalating wages and fuel prices will increase the pressure on balancing bus service operations costs with available revenues. Similarly, recent increases for right-of-way and construction materials are likely to drive up costs for transit capital facilities. Chair Beasley thanked Mr. Herzog for his report and asked members if they had any questions.

Mr. Pettit asked if the forecasted revenue analysis showed the percentage of sales tax coming from construction activities and new development and how does the forecast look for the future. Mr. Herzog replied that the forecasts are done by economic sector. He also said that the slowdown in construction could impact the eleven percent increase realized this year out to the future.

Mr. Ellegood referenced the arterial streets map that was shown during the presentation. He pointed out that all of the arterials stop at Queen Creek. South of Queen Creek is Pinal County, which is growing very quickly, and whose traffic is going into Queen Creek. Mr. Ellegood stated that for the past year, work has been ongoing to find a solution to this issue. He noted that Maricopa County and Queen Creek have done all that they can and have bonded to their limits for street improvements. Mr. Ellegood suggested that the issue is a regional issue that involves Maricopa and Pinal counties and needs to be addressed.

Mr. Fairbanks stated that he was glad to see the Life Cycle analyses showed that there was sufficient revenue to cover costs. He added that in Phoenix, cost increases have exceeded the eleven percent increase in tax receipts. Mr. Fairbanks commented that the program seems in balance because the costs for projects in the future are being held at the stated costs. He stated that even with the increase in sales tax receipts, it will be difficult to complete the program with the cost increases that have occurred over the past two years. Mr. Fairbanks cautioned about spending the small surpluses demonstrated in the analyses because not all of the project costs have been inflated to current costs. Mr. Herzog stated that a cost analysis will be forthcoming

for FY 2007. He said that cost increases were experienced this year, more are expected, and we will have to deal with them. Mr. Fairbanks commented that the costs for recent City of Phoenix street projects doubled because of construction cost increases.

Mr. Smith stated that for the freeway/highway program, all of the revenue was put on the table, including Highway User Revenue Funds. He advised that there are options--the 20-year plan could be a 21- or 22-year plan or we could issue bonds on the money beyond the 20-year plan. Mr. Smith noted a recent Tucson project estimated at \$170 million came in at approximately \$200 million, and ADOT thought it might come in at up to \$250 million.

Mr. Buskirk spoke about the I-10 project Mr. Smith referenced comes to \$226 million because of contingencies. He added that over the past four months, the prices for steel, cement, and PVC have increased ten percent and asphalt 35 percent.

6. Particulate Pollution Update

Lindy Bauer, MAG Environmental Director, stated that the Management Committee was briefed in January 2006 about exceedances of the twenty-four hour PM-10 standard in the region. She noted that because of the exceedances, the region will no longer have the three years of clean data needed to attain the PM-10 standard by 2006. Ms. Bauer stated that MAG is preparing a Five Percent Plan for submission to EPA by December 31, 2007. She said that MAG hosted a workshop in February 2006, where cities shared best practices. Ms. Bauer noted that meetings have taken place with agricultural and rock products representatives and Associated General Contractors so they are aware that a Five Percent Plan needs to be prepared. Ms. Bauer thanked Maricopa County for arranging a workshop in Clark County, Nevada, to learn of the successful air quality program in which Clark County was able to meet the standard.

Ms. Bauer noted upcoming steps that will be taken. She said that Maricopa County will launch a public outreach campaign in November 2006. Ms. Bauer advised that a suggested list of measures for the Five Percent Plan will be presented to the Management Committee in the February/March 2007 timeframe, with commit to implement measures from local governments requested by June 2007. Ms. Bauer commented that these measures could include additional local paving of unpaved roads, access points, dirt shoulders, and unpaved parking lots; stabilizing vacant lots; and sweeping streets with PM-10 certified street sweepers.

Ms. Bauer noted that the region will need to demonstrate three years of clean data at the monitors to attain the standard, or we will need to continue to update and add measures to the five percent reduction plan. She stated that the Maricopa County Air Quality Department gave a presentation in June to the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee. The presentation included observations by Air Quality Department staff when enforcement teams were sent to the monitors to check the cause of high readings. Ms. Bauer requested that following the presentation today, members go back to their jurisdictions and see if there are any sources similar to the pictures which will be shown that they could have under their control. She introduced Lucinda Swan, Dust Compliance Manager, for the Maricopa County Air Quality Department.

Ms. Swan began her presentation by displaying a graph of the number of days where at least one monitor exceeded the standard for the years 2002 to 2006. She then proceeded to show photographs taken by County Air Quality Department staff of conditions during stagnation and high wind events, of disturbed vacant lots, trackout, and dirt shoulders, and the dust caused by not using water during high wind events. Ms. Swan noted that some of those responsible for these incidents were issued violations.

Mr. Smith asked if high wind events were flagged and if they count. Ms. Swan replied that ADEQ flags high wind events and then presents them to EPA, who makes the decision if they are taken out of the exceedance category. Ms. Swan noted that if Air Quality Department staff see a monitor's readings rising and staying elevated, inspectors are immediately sent out to the monitor. She added that elevated readings are an unusual occurrence if there is no wind.

Ms. Swan stated that during FY 2005-06, more than 5,500 permits were issued. She said that enforcement efforts were ramped up, and the Air Quality Department now has 28 inspectors. Ms. Swan displayed a list of penalties that were collected, including \$850,000 for dust cases.

Mr. Fooks commented that the photographs did not include sand and gravel operations and asked if these operations contributed to the PM-10 problem. Ms. Swan replied that there are some sand and gravel operations located at the river bottom. She said that sometimes the source of dust during some high wind events cannot be attributed to one exact source. Ms. Swan added that staff stay on the roadway when taking the pictures and it is possible that some of the dust in the river bottom pictures was caused by sand and gravel operations.

Ms. Smith asked if the recent monsoon seemed to have made a difference in the amount of dust. Ms. Swan replied that the monsoon has helped. She added that some dirt lots that were stabilized from the rain are now becoming unstabilized because of vehicles driving on them.

Vice Chair Dolan asked if the County's study revealed the problem is dust from a number of different sources. Ms. Swan indicated that when you look at the data, PM-10 has a number of sources—construction, agriculture, roadways, etc. Vice Chair Dolan asked if some sources were higher contributors than others. Ms. Swan stated that the Air Quality Department only looks at sources where they have jurisdiction. For example, the County cannot look at vehicle traffic because that is not where they have jurisdiction.

Vice Chair Dolan asked about going after the true sources and doing something about them. Ms. Bauer responded that it is important to go after the true sources. For that reason, MAG has a PM-10 Source Attribution and Deposition Study underway to study the monitor filters to see how far particulates transport and try to pinpoint the source. Ms. Bauer noted that in addition, the County is working on an emissions inventory, which will be completed the end of September.

Mr. Smith stated that a lot of the exceedances were high wind events. He said that the natural question is why EPA does not discount those events when we live in a desert. Ms. Bauer stated that the SAFETEA-LU bill lists that drought and stagnant events would not be considered as exceptional or natural events, such as those we have experienced. Mr. Smith stated that

someone put this into legislation so if someone wanted to work Congressionally, it could be taken out. He added that it is still a health concern. Ms. Bauer agreed with the comment that the particulate standard is a public health issue. She added that we are sometimes able to flag those high wind events and the data will not be counted against us.

Mr. Fairbanks stated that some particulate pollution results from the tailpipe emissions from older diesel engines, but a larger vehicle-related component is re-entrained dust from cars driving over roads where dust has accumulated. Ms. Bauer replied that Mr. Fairbanks was correct—only 2.1 percent of PM-10 comes from tailpipes.

Vice Chair Dolan stated that all are concerned about health problems resulting from particulates. She asked if the problem was not equalized throughout the County. Ms. Bauer replied that not all of the monitors have the same reading. She advised that after the PM-10 Source Attribution and Deposition Study is completed, we will have a better idea how far the particulates transport. Vice Chair Dolan asked if these two or three monitors shown in the photographs were the prime problems or were just examples. Ms. Bauer replied that the photographs were just examples. She indicated that these types of sources are throughout the Valley and measures need to be implemented throughout the region.

Mr. Fairbanks asked if the violations were at only a few monitors. Ms. Bauer replied that was correct. The West 43rd Avenue and the Durango monitors kept us out of attainment. She added that the Higley, Buckeye, Greenwood, Central Phoenix, and West Phoenix monitors had exceedances.

Mr. Ellegood stated that the 43rd Avenue monitor is the perfect storm—all of the ingredients for a dirty monitor are there. He noted that it is close to the river bed used by off-road vehicles, to the Vulcan sand and gravel operation, to auto junkyards, to Manzanita Speedway, and to unpaved shoulders. He stated that Maricopa County and the City of Phoenix have paved both dirt shoulders. In addition, they worked with the sand and gravel company, which has implemented capital improvements to keep its trackout and dust down. Mr. Ellegood commented strides have been made, but it will be very difficult to control dust in this area.

Vice Chair Dolan asked what is the reality that we will be able to meet the standard at some of these monitors with the uses at those locations? She commented that she was not encouraging Congressional action that could endanger people's health.

Mr. Fairbanks stated that the health impacts are well-documented. He noted that the County and the City of Phoenix have invested millions of general fund dollars into solving this problem. He commented that he did not know if anyone has found a way to fix the problem. Mr. Fairbanks commented that the health issue is proportional to the concentrations. He stated that it will be beneficial to find how far particulates transport. Mr. Fairbanks commented that there is so much dust in some of these areas it may cause health problems and added that we have to find a way to solve it.

Mr. Fooks stated that there was a bill in Congress this year to take out mining and farming from the formula.

Vice Chair Dolan commented that this does not solve health problems. Rather than taking them out of the formula can we take uses out so they are no longer active?

Mr. Fairbanks stated that the County and the City of Phoenix have gone to construction, gravel and cement companies that have parked on dirt lots for decades--50 to 70 years--and are forcing them to pave their lots. He said it was his understanding that with the exception of high wind events, the raw desert does not have violations. Mr. Fairbanks commented that he was glad to hear that Clark County, which is similar to our region, has been able to conquer its dust problems. We need to be committed to fixing it in this region.

Vice Chair Dolan asked what Clark County was doing that we are not. Ms. Bauer replied that in addition to a large budget, Clark County has a massive public education program. She added that the County also has a huge training program for the construction industry and requires on-site certified dust managers to ensure that subcontractors comply with dust control measures. Ms. Bauer stated that enforcement teams are geared geographically so they can be dispatched immediately to monitors to ensure compliance. She also noted that the County has the authority to stabilize vacant lots if the owners do not, and is able to put liens on the properties.

7. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for Management Committee members to present a brief summary of current events. The Management Committee is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

Mr. Rumpeltes stated that he chaired the MAG FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation (EPDT) Ad Hoc Committee, which ranked the EPDT Program's Auxiliary Applications. He noted that the deadline for applications was Friday, September 1, 2006. Mr. Rumpeltes expressed his appreciation to Amy St. Peter and her staff, for processing the applications so quickly over the Labor Day weekend and providing them to the Management Committee.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 1:02 p.m.

Chairman

Secretary

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

9-1-1 Budget Request to the Arizona Department of Administration for Equipment and Operating Funds

SUMMARY:

Each year, the Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) Managers submit inventory and upgrade requests that are used to develop a five-year equipment program that forecasts future 9-1-1 equipment needs of the region and will enable MAG to provide estimates of future funding needs to the Arizona Department of Administration (ADOA). The funding request for FY 2008 is required to be submitted to the ADOA by December 15, 2006.

The ADOA Order of Adoption stipulates allowable funding under the Emergency Telecommunications Services Revolving Fund. The Emergency Telecommunications Services Revolving Fund is funded by the monthly 9-1-1 excise tax on wireline and wireless telephones. The 9-1-1 excise tax has been reduced from 37 cents a month to 28 cents a month as of July 1, 2006. The excise tax is scheduled for a further reduction to 20 cents a month effective July 1, 2007. The ADOA has projected 9-1-1 funding will be depleted by FY 2010. Efforts are being made to stabilize the 9-1-1 funds through legislation to ensure appropriate funding in the future.

PUBLIC INPUT:

None.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: The five-year equipment program assists the MAG 9-1-1 Oversight Team to forecast future equipment needs of the region and will enable MAG to provide estimates regarding future funding needs to ADOA.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: None.

POLICY: The process for approval of the PSAP funding request and five-year equipment program, which includes recommendations from the MAG 9-1-1 Oversight Team and Management Committee and approval by the Regional Council, demonstrates greater participation by management.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of the MAG FY 2008 PSAP Annual Element/Funding Request and FY 2008-2012 Equipment Program for submittal to the Arizona Department of Administration.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

9-1-1 Oversight Team: On September 25, 2006, the MAG 9-1-1 Oversight Team recommended approval of the MAG FY 2008 PSAP Annual Element/Funding Request and FY 2008-2012 Equipment Program for submittal to the Arizona Department of Administration.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Harry Beck, Mesa Fire Department, Chair
Jim Higgins for Mark Burdick, Glendale
Fire Department
Mary Millard for Steve Werner, Maricopa
County Sheriff's Office
#Mike Fusco, Emergency Management, Peoria
Robert Demlong, Phoenix Police Department

Helen Gandara-Zavala,
Scottsdale Police Department
Brenda Buren, Tempe Police Department
* Lawrence Rodriguez, Tolleson Police
Department
* Cassie Peters, Phoenix Fire Department

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

Participated by telephone conference call.

MAG 9-1-1 PSAP Managers Group: On July 20, 2006, the MAG 9-1-1 PSAP Managers Group recommended approval of the MAG FY 2008 PSAP Annual Element/Funding Request and FY 2008-2012 Equipment Program for submittal to the Arizona Department of Administration.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Chris Nadeau, Goodyear, Chairperson
Dolores Indorf for Kathy Jeter, Apache
Junction
Carrie Lombana, Avondale
Velma Washington, Buckeye
* Vicki Szczepkowski, Chandler
* Michelle Busch, El Mirage
* Mary Schlosser, Ft. McDowell Yavapai Nation
Allyna Bay for Janet Laird, Gilbert
* Denny Bennett, Glendale
Erika Wilson, Mesa
John Mallaburn for Mary Millard, Maricopa
County
Larry Scott, Paradise Valley
Vicky Scott, Peoria
Tami deRuiter, Phoenix

* Curtis Thomas, Salt River Pima-Maricopa
Indian Community
Patrick Cutts for Tom Melton, Scottsdale
* John Bacon, Surprise
Karen Allen, Tempe
* Toni Rogers, Tolleson
Ed Syzponik, Wickenburg
+ Brian Tobin, ASU
+ Barbara Jaeger, ADOA
*+Nicole Ankenman, Capitol Police
*+Debbie Henry, DPS
+ David Demers Luke AFB
+ Doug Mummert, Phoenix
*+Joe Gibson, Rural Metro/Southwest
Ambulance

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

CONTACT PERSON:

Liz Graeber, 602-534-9775, or Mary D. Franklin, 602-262-6260, Phoenix Fire Department.

MAG FY2008-2012 PSAP Equipment Program

FY2008		FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Apache Junction PD	1 add'l position				
ASU PD	Move 5/07				
Avondale PD	Nothing noted	Logging recorder and move 10/08			
Buckeye PD	Nothing noted	Move 8/08	Additional position		
Capitol PD	No Response				
Chandler PD	Position move 2008 to include upgrade	2 add'l positions	Logging recorder		
DPS	Upgrade/Logging recorder				
El Mirage PD	Nothing noted				
Ft. McDowell	Move 7/2007 to include upgrade				
Gilbert PD	Nothing noted				
Glendale PD	Nothing noted	Logging recorder			
Goodyear PD	Nothing noted			8 add'l positions/ logging recorder/move 12/10	
Luke AFB	Logging recorder/move 7/07 to include upgrade				
MCSO	Nothing noted	Move 10/08			
Mesa PD	2 add'l positions		2 add'l positions / logging recorder / move 10/09		4 add'l positions
Paradise Valley PD	Nothing noted				
Peoria PD	Nothing noted		4 add'l positions		
Phoenix Fire	Prior state approval needed for non standard item request			25 add'l positions / logging recorder / move TBD	
Phoenix PD	Logging recorder		5 add'l positions	5 add'l positions	5 add'l positions / move 8/12
Rural Metro PD	No Response				
Salt River PD	Nothing noted				
Scottsdale PD	Nothing noted				
Surprise PD	No Response				
Tempe PD	8 add'l positions / move 9/07 to include upgrade		1 add'l position		2 add'l positions
Tolleson PD	Move TBD - Bond				
Wickenburg PD	Nothing noted		Logging recorder		

MAG FY 2008 PSAP ANNUAL ELEMENT/FUNDING REQUEST

SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION: Maricopa County 9-1-1 (33320)
 AGENCY SUBMITTING: Phoenix Fire Department
 ADDRESS: 150 S. 12th St., Phoenix, AZ 85034

CONTACT: Liz Graeber
 TELEPHONE #: (602) 534-9775
 DATE: 10-Jul-06

Fiscal Year		2008											
TOTAL		Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June
Wireline													
Maintenance:													
911 Monthly Service:		\$990,000	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500	\$82,500
Addressing/Mapping/GIS		\$2,580,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000	\$215,000
Customer Premise Equipment		\$0											
Special Projects		\$2,794,600	\$44,100	\$35,000	\$553,000	\$234,000	\$287,500	\$70,000	\$50,000	\$960,000			
Wireless													
Maintenance:													
911 Monthly Service:		\$3,380,000	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667	\$281,667
Addressing/Mapping/GIS		\$0											
Customer Premise Equipment		\$55,000	\$5,000					\$10,000		\$40,000			
Special Projects		\$200,000											
FY TOTALS		\$9,999,600	\$341,600	\$332,500	\$850,500	\$531,500	\$585,000	\$367,500	\$347,500	\$1,257,500	\$297,500	\$297,500	\$297,500

Equipment:		Equipment figures are only estimates - will have preliminary quote from Qwest before submitting to ADOA											
Apache Junction	1 additional position	\$40,000	August										
Chandler	Equipment upgrade	\$553,000	September										
DPS	Equipment upgrade and logging recorder	\$561,000	October										
Ft McDowell	Equipment upgrade	\$234,000	November										
Luke AFB	Equipment upgrade and logging recorder	\$287,500	December										
Mesa	2 additional positions	\$80,000	January										
Phoenix PD	Logging recorder	\$50,000	February										
Tempe	Equipment upgrade and 8 additional positions	\$1,000,000	March										
Total		\$2,805,500	Total										

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

Amendment to the Approved List of Consultants for the MAG ITS/Traffic Engineering /Transportation Safety On-Call Services

SUMMARY:

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2006, includes projects to be launched in the areas of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), Traffic Engineering and Transportation Safety. Approximately 21 projects, in nine areas of technical expertise, will be carried out using a list of On-Call consultants that are qualified in each area of technical expertise. This list of On-Call consultants was approved by the Regional Council Executive Committee at its meeting on February 13, 2006.

The MAG ITS Committee, at its January 4, 2006 meeting, had recommended ten (10) consultants for the Traffic Engineering area of expertise. However, the list of recommended consultants that was provided for subsequent Management Committee and Executive Committee consideration had inadvertently left out two firms that ranked at #9 and #10 in the area of Traffic Engineering. The approved list of On-Call consultants needs to be amended to include these two firms. The amended list of consultants is shown on Attachment One, with the additional firms of Works Consulting and HDR, Inc., shown in ***BOLD ITALICS***.

The On-Call contracts will be utilized over a span of two-years to complete these projects. Project oversight will be provided by the ITS Committee and the Transportation Safety Committee.

PUBLIC INPUT:

None has been received.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: None.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: None.

POLICY: None.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of an amendment that would add Works Consulting and HDR, Inc. to the approved list of consultants qualified to carry out Traffic Engineering projects under the MAG ITS/Traffic Engineering, Transportation Safety On-Call services.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

On February 13, 2006, the MAG Regional Council Executive Committee approved the On-Call consultants list.

MEMBERS ATTENDING ----

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair
Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park,
Vice Chair
Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale, Treasurer

Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek
Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix
Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise
Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear

* Not present

Participated by videoconference or telephone conference call

On February 8, 2006, the MAG Management Committee recommended approval of the On-Call consultants list.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Dana Tranberg for Ed Beasley,
Glendale, Chair
Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair
Janine Solley for George Hoffman,
Apache Junction
Charlie McClendon, Avondale
Carroll Reynolds, Buckeye
* Jon Pearson, Carefree
* Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek
Mark Pentz, Chandler
* B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage
Alfonso Rodriguez for Orlando Moreno,
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills
+ Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend
* Urban Giff, Gila River Indian Community
George Pettit, Gilbert
Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear

Mark Johnson, Guadalupe
Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
Christopher Brady, Mesa
* Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley
John Wenderski for Terry Ellis, Peoria
Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix
Cynthia Seelhammer, Queen Creek
* Bryan Meyers, Salt River
Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise
Will Manley, Tempe
Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
Shane Dille, Wickenburg
Mark Fooks, Youngtown
Dale Buskirk for Victor Mendez, ADOT
David Smith, Maricopa County
David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

Participated by telephone conference call.

+ Participated by videoconference call.

The MAG Transportation Safety Committee recommended approval of the list of consultants for Transportation Safety Projects shown in Attachment One (Areas of Expertise 7, 8 and 9) at their January 17, 2006 meeting, with one abstention (shaded).

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Robert Maki, City of Surprise, Chairman

Reed Henry, ADOT

- * Martin Johnson, City of Chandler
- * Bruce Ward, Town of Gilbert
- * Sandy Adams, City of Glendale
- * Doug Dobson, Apache Junction
- Peggy Rubach for Chris Plumb, Maricopa Co
- Larry Talley, City of Mesa
- * William Mead, Paradise Valley
- Scott Nodes, City of Goodyear
- Kerry Wilcoxon, City of Phoenix
- George Williams for Paul Porell, City of Scottsdale

- * Mike Lockhart, DPS
- * Marc Scott, City of Tempe
- * Kelly LaRosa, City of Avondale
- * Mark Fooks, Town of Youngtown
- Mary Kihl, ASU
- Jennifer Brown, FHWA
- Stuart Boggs for Teri Collins, RPTA
- * Lt. Mike Lockhart, DPS
- David Cowley, AAA Arizona
- * Linda Mendyka, GOHS
- Tom Burch, AARP
- Jamal Rahimi, City of Peoria

* not present

The MAG Intelligent Transportation Systems Committee unanimously recommended approval of the list of consultants for ITS Projects shown in Attachment One (Areas of Expertise 1 through 6) at their January 4, 2006 meeting.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Alan Sanderson, City of Mesa, Chairman

- * Debbie Burdette, City of Glendale
- Mike Mah, City of Chandler
- * Bruce Ward, Town of Gilbert
- Faisal Saleem for Nicolaas Swart, Maricopa County
- * Ron Doubek, City of Phoenix
- Bob Ciotti, RPTA
- * Mike Lockhart, DPS
- * Nick Mascia, City of Surprise
- * Carrol Reynolds, Town of Buckeye
- * Bruce Dressel, City of Scottsdale

Jim Decker, City of Tempe

- Tim Wolfe, ADOT
- Ron Amaya, City of Peoria
- Scott Nodes, City of Goodyear
- Mary Kihl, ASU
- Alan Hansen, FHWA
- * Dennis Murphy, Phoenix Aviation
- Gus Woodland for Kelly LaRosa, City of Avondale
- * BJ Cornwall, City of El Mirage
- * Michael Shine, Town of Queen Creek

* not present

On November 29, 2005, the two selection panels evaluated the proposals and reached a consensus to recommend to MAG that consultant teams be qualified in each of the nine areas of technical expertise.

ITS /TE On call Evaluation Panel

1. Alan Sanderson - City of Mesa
2. Scott Nodes - City of Goodyear
3. Mike Mah - City of Chandler
4. Jim Decker - City of Tempe
5. Sarath Joshua - MAG

Transportation Safety On call Evaluation Panel

1. Scott Nodes - City of Goodyear
2. Larry Talley - City of Mesa
3. Kerry Wilcoxon - City of Phoenix
4. Sarath Joshua - MAG

CONTACT PERSON:

Sarath Joshua, MAG, (602) 254-6300.

MAG ITS, TRAFFIC ENGINEERING AND TRANSPORTATION SAFETY
ON-CALL CONSULTANT SERVICES
RANK ORDERED LIST OF QUALIFIED CONSULTANTS

Area of Expertise 1: Traffic Engineering

1. LEE ENGINEERING
2. CK ENGINEERING
3. KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES
4. TRANSCORE
5. ITS ENGINEERS & CONTRACTORS
6. KITTELSON ASSOCIATES
7. OLSSON ASSOCIATES
8. PBS &J
9. **WORKS CONSULTING**
10. **HDR**

Area of Expertise 2: ITS Planning

1. KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES
2. TRANSCORE
3. ITS ENGINEERS & CONTRACTORS
4. CK ENGINEERING
5. SIEMENS
6. PBS &J
7. OLSSON ASSOCIATES

Area of Expertise 3: ITS Operations Planning

1. KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES
2. LEE ENGINEERING
3. ITS ENGINEERS & CONTRACTORS
4. TRANSCORE
5. CK ENGINEERING

Area of Expertise 4: ITS Training

1. SIEMENS
2. UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
3. KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES

Area of Expertise 5: ITS Evaluation

1. WORKS CONSULTING
2. LEE ENGINEERING
3. TRANSCORE

Area of Expertise 6: Traffic Data Collection Systems

1. SOFTSERV
2. TRANSCORE
3. WORKS CONSULTING

Area of Expertise 7: Transportation Safety
Phase 1: Crash Data Definitions

1. LEE ENGINEERING
2. KITTELSON ASSOCIATES
3. KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES
4. CK ENGINEERING
5. HDR

Area of Expertise 8: Transportation Safety
Phase 2: Crash Analysis and Forecasting

1. KITTELSON ASSOCIATES
2. KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES
3. CK ENGINEERING
4. LEE ENGINEERING

Area of Expertise 9: Transportation Safety
Phase 3: Develop GIS Tools

1. WORKS CONSULTING
2. KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES
3. KITTELSON ASSOCIATES
4. TRANSCORE

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

Consultant Selection for the MAG Commuter Rail Strategic Plan

SUMMARY:

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2006, includes funding to develop a Commuter Rail Strategic Plan for the MAG urbanized area. MAG will fund study work in Maricopa County and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) will fund study work in northern Pinal County. Once completed, the plan will provide technical and policy frameworks for advancing commuter rail service in the MAG region.

Key project objectives are to:

1. Complete a SWOT Analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats) related to the future implementation of commuter rail service.
2. Prepare and implement an outreach program that provides input into the planning process and identifies the level of support for commuter rail among citizens and elected officials.
3. Define options for the shared use of railway corridors and infrastructure while balancing freight and passenger service needs. Develop ongoing dialogue with all railroad companies included within the MAG commuter rail area.
4. Identify potential risk exposure from: a) environmental issues; and b) safety, ownership, and liability issues. Define possible solutions or mitigation strategies.
5. Evaluate opportunities for integrating commuter rail service with existing and future activity centers.
6. Identify current and future connectivity relationships with other modes, including freeways, airports, bus, and light rail.
7. Identify right-of-way needs as part of an overall corridor and station area preservation strategy. Identify additional out-of-service and former railroad right-of-way corridors that may be suitable for commuter rail service.
8. Identify potential funding options and legislative measures to implement commuter rail.
9. Prepare a Commuter Rail Strategic Plan summary and presentation suitable for wide distribution to a general audience.

The Commuter Rail Strategic Plan will capitalize on draft results derived from ADOT's State Rail Inventory and Assessment Study, currently underway. In addition, this study will build upon previous technical analyses completed with the 2003 MAG High Capacity Transit Plan, which identified more than 129 miles of potential commuter rail corridors and provided initial ridership projections. The High Capacity Transit Plan concluded that commuter rail service was feasible with demand and service levels comparable to commuter rail systems currently in operation in other regions.

PUBLIC INPUT:

The Commuter Rail Stakeholders Group provided input into the project scope of work on June 28, 2006. Stakeholder participants included elected officials, interested citizens, and agency staff.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: This process will establish a regional framework for developing and implementing future commuter rail service.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The resulting implementation plan will identify appropriate next steps for evaluating the technical merits of potential commuter rail corridors (e.g., travel demand modeling and ridership forecasts).

POLICY: The Commuter Rail Strategic Plan will provide decision-makers with a comprehensive perspective on the costs, schedules, trade-offs, impacts, and policy implications of alternative implementation approaches.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of the selection of URS Corporation to develop the MAG Commuter Rail Strategic Plan for an amount not to exceed \$300,000.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

MAG issued a Request for Qualifications on July 14 and received responses from Booz Allen Hamilton, IBI Group, and URS Corporation. A multi-agency review team evaluated the Statements of Qualifications on August 25, 2006, and conducted consultant interviews on September 13, 2006. The Evaluation Team recommended to MAG that URS Corporation be selected to develop the Commuter Rail Strategic Plan for an amount not to exceed \$300,000.

Proposal Evaluation Team

City of Chandler: Mike Normand

City of Glendale: Matt Dudley

City of Goodyear: Connie Stepnitz

City of Phoenix: Maria Hyatt

Town of Queen Creek: Mark Young

City of Surprise: Randall Overmyer

City of Tempe: Robert Yabes

ADOT: Marc Pearsall & Scott Friedson

Valley Metro Rail: Wulf Grote

CONTACT PERSON:

Kevin Wallace, MAG, (602) 254-6300.

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

Prioritized List of Proposed PM-10 Certified Street Sweeper Projects for FY 2007 CMAQ Funding

SUMMARY:

The Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 contains the committed control measure "PM-10 Efficient Street Sweepers" to reduce particulates that become airborne from vehicles on paved roads. To address dust on paved roads, the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program contain \$1,440,000 in FY 2007 Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funding to encourage the purchase and utilization of PM-10 certified street sweepers. The purpose of the CMAQ program is to fund projects and programs in nonattainment and maintenance areas that assist in achieving air quality standards.

In August 2006, MAG solicited PM-10 certified street sweeper projects from member agencies in the Maricopa County PM-10 Nonattainment Area. Twelve projects requesting approximately \$1.95 million in federal funds were received. MAG staff evaluated the sweeper proposals for estimated emission reductions in accordance with CMAQ guidance. On September 28, 2006, the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee may make a recommendation on a prioritized list of proposed PM-10 certified street sweeper projects submitted for FY 2007 CMAQ funding. Following the Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee meeting, the recommendation will be forwarded to members of the MAG Management Committee.

PUBLIC INPUT:

An opportunity for public comment will be provided at the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee meeting.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: The Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10, adopted by the MAG Regional Council on February 15, 2000, contained the committed control measure "PM-10 Efficient Street Sweepers." This measure encourages the purchase and utilization of PM-10 certified street sweepers for reducing particulate emissions from paved roads in the Maricopa County PM-10 Nonattainment Area.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: A key measure in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 used for the attainment demonstration is PM-10 certified street sweepers.

POLICY: Using CMAQ funding for the member agency purchase of PM-10 certified street sweepers will assist in the reduction of PM-10 emissions in the Maricopa County PM-10 Nonattainment Area.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of a prioritized list of proposed PM-10 certified street sweeper projects for FY 2007 CMAQ funding and retain the prioritized list for any additional FY 2007 CMAQ funds that may become available due to year-end closeout, including any redistributed obligation authority, or additional funding received by this region.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee: On September 28, 2006, the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee may make a recommendation on a prioritized list of proposed PM-10 certified street sweeper projects for FY 2007 CMAQ funding and to retain the prioritized list for any additional FY 2007 CMAQ funds that may become available due to year-end closeout, including any redistributed obligation authority, or additional funding received by this region.

CONTACT PERSON:

Dean Giles, (602) 254-6300

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... *for your review*

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

Pedestrian and Bicycle Design Assistance Programs

SUMMARY:

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2006, includes \$200,000 for the Pedestrian Design Assistance Program and \$300,000 for the Bicycle/Shared-Use Design Assistance Program. The Design programs allow MAG member agencies to apply for funding for the design portion of a bicycle or pedestrian project. Ten applications for the program were received on August 15, 2006. On September 19, 2006, the MAG Pedestrian Working Group and the MAG Bicycle Task Force reviewed the applications and recommended that the following projects receive funding:

Avondale - Van Buren Connection Pedestrian Project	\$46,000
Buckeye - Eason and 7 th Street Pedestrian Project	\$24,000
Fountain Hills - Four Peaks Elementary School Sidewalk Project	\$45,000
Gilbert - Gilbert Industrial Pedestrian Campus	\$50,000
Mesa - Adobe Road Pedestrian Project	\$35,000
Avondale - Bridge Bicycle Design Project	\$75,000
Gilbert - Bicycle Crossing Improvement and Safety Demonstration Project	\$75,000
Mesa - Longmore Shared-Use Path Project	\$75,000
Phoenix - Little Canyon Shared-Use and Canal Path Project	\$75,000

PUBLIC INPUT:

None.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: This program assists MAG member agencies by offering professional design assistance to develop bicycle and pedestrian facilities that help reduce congestion and improve air quality.

CONS: According to federal law, any project which is not constructed after being designed with federal transportation funds could be required to return the funds used for design to the Federal Highway Administration.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The Pedestrian Design Assistance Program encourages implementation of the adopted MAG Pedestrian Area Policies and Design Guidelines, and provides demonstration projects for "best practice" pedestrian area policies and facilities. The Bicycle Design Assistance programs uses national accepted practices.

POLICY: This program encourages the development of facilities to encourage walking and bicycling.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of the following projects for funding from the Pedestrian Design Assistance Program: City of Avondale - Van Buren Connection Pedestrian Project, \$46,000; Town of Buckeye - Eason and 7th Street Pedestrian Project, \$24,000; Town of Fountain Hills - Four Peaks Elementary School Sidewalk Project, \$45,000; Town of Gilbert - Gilbert Industrial Pedestrian Campus, \$50,000; City of Mesa - Adobe Road Pedestrian Project, \$35,000; and recommend approval of the following projects for funding from the Bicycle/Shared Use Design Assistance Program: City of Avondale - Bridge Bicycle Design Project, \$75,000; Town of Gilbert - Bicycle Crossing Improvement and Safety Demonstration Project, \$75,000; City of Mesa - Longmore Shared-Use Path Project, \$75,000; City of Phoenix - Little Canyon Shared-Use and Canal Path Project, \$75,000.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

This item is on the September 28, 2006 Transportation Review Committee agenda. The action taken by the Committee will be forwarded to the Management Committee.

On September 19, 2006, the MAG Pedestrian Working Group and the MAG Bicycle Task Force recommended that the following projects receive funding:

Avondale - Van Buren Connection Pedestrian Project	\$46,000
Buckeye - Eason and 7 th Street Pedestrian Project	\$24,000
Fountain Hills - Four Peaks Elementary School Sidewalk Project	\$45,000
Gilbert - Gilbert Industrial Pedestrian Campus	\$50,000
Mesa - Adobe Road Pedestrian Project	\$35,000
Avondale - Bridge Bicycle Design Project	\$75,000
Gilbert - Bicycle Crossing Improvement and Safety Demonstration Project	\$75,000
Mesa - Longmore Shared-Use Path Project	\$75,000
Phoenix - Little Canyon Shared-Use and Canal Path Project	\$75,000

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Tami Ryall, Gilbert, Chair, Regional Bicycle Task Force and Acting Chair of the Pedestrian Working Group	Steve Hancock, Glendale
Bruce Meyers, ADOA Gen. Services	Farhad Tavassoli, Goodyear
Michael Sanders, ADOT	* Michael Cartsonis, Litchfield Park
Brian Fellows, ADOT	Peggy Rubach, Maricopa County
Michael Eagan, ASLA, Arizona Chapter	Mitch Foy, Mesa
Kelly LaRosa for Janeen Gaskins, Avondale	Brandon Forrey, Peoria
Thomas Chlebanowski, Buckeye	Katherine Coles, Phoenix
Michael Normand, Chandler	Srinivas Goundla, Phoenix
* Bill Lazenby, Coalition of Arizona Bicyclists	Randi Alcott, RPTA
* Mark Smith, El Mirage	Dawn Coomer, Scottsdale
	* Reed Kempton, Scottsdale
	Eric Iwersen, Tempe
	Hobart Wingard for Gary Norris, Surprise

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

CONTACT PERSON:

Maureen DeCindis, MAG, (602) 254-6300.

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

Bicycle and Pedestrian Design Assistance On-Call Consultant List

SUMMARY:

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2006, includes \$200,000 for the Pedestrian Design Assistance Program and \$300,000 for the Bicycle/Shared-Use Design Assistance Program. The Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List provides member agencies with a pre-approved consultant list to provide assistance for their design project. A request for consultants to submit Statements of Qualifications was sent out in early July and six submittals were received at MAG on July 31, 2006. A multi-agency evaluation team reviewed the applications and recommended to MAG that A Dye Design; e group; HDR Engineering, Inc.; J2 Engineering and Environmental Design; Otak; and Sherman Group, Inc. be selected for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List.

PUBLIC INPUT:

None.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: The Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List provides member agencies with a pre-approved consultant list to provide assistance for their design project. This program assists MAG member agencies by offering professional design assistance to develop bicycle and pedestrian facilities that help reduce congestion and improve air quality.

CONS: According to federal law, any project which is not constructed after being designed with federal transportation funds could be required to return the funds used for design to the Federal Highway Administration.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The Pedestrian Design Assistance Program encourages implementation of the adopted MAG Pedestrian Area Policies and Design Guidelines, and provides demonstration projects for "best practice" pedestrian area policies and facilities. The Bicycle Design Assistance Program uses national accepted practices.

POLICY: This program encourages the development of facilities to encourage walking and bicycling.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of the selection of the following consultants for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List: A Dye Design; e group; HDR Engineering, Inc.; J2 Engineering and Environmental Design; Otak; and Sherman Group, Inc.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

On September 19, 2006, the MAG Pedestrian Working Group and the MAG Bicycle Task Force recommended that the following consultants be approved for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List.

- A Dye Design
- e group
- HDR Engineering, Inc.
- J2 Engineering and Environmental Design
- Otak
- Sherman Group, Inc.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Tami Ryall, Gilbert, Chair, Regional Bicycle Task Force and Acting Chair of the Pedestrian Working Group
Bruce Meyers, ADOA Gen. Services
Michael Sanders, ADOT
Brian Fellows, ADOT
Michael Eagan, ASLA, Arizona Chapter
Kelly LaRosa for Janeen Gaskins, Avondale
Thomas Chlebanowski, Buckeye
Michael Normand, Chandler
* Bill Lazenby, Coalition of Arizona Bicyclists
* Mark Smith, El Mirage

Steve Hancock, Glendale
Farhad Tavassoli, Goodyear
* Michael Cartsonis, Litchfield Park
Peggy Rubach, Maricopa County
Mitch Foy, Mesa
Brandon Forrey, Peoria
Katherine Coles, Phoenix
Srinivas Goundla, Phoenix
Randi Alcott, RPTA
Dawn Coomer, Scottsdale
* Reed Kernpton, Scottsdale
Eric Iwersen, Tempe
Hobart Wingard for Gary Norris, Surprise

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

On August 15, 2006, the multi-agency evaluation team reviewed the applications and recommended to MAG that A Dye Design; e group; HDR Engineering, Inc.; J2 Engineering and Environmental Design; Otak; and Sherman Group, Inc. be selected for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Design On-Call Consultant List.

MULTI-AGENCY EVALUATION TEAM

Peggy Rubach, Maricopa County
Bill Lazenby, Coalition of Arizona Bicyclists
Tami Ryall, Town of Gilbert
Catherine Coles, City of Phoenix
Dawn Coomer, City of Scottsdale

CONTACT PERSON:

Maureen DeCindis, MAG, (602) 254-6300.

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

Proposed Amendments to the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program Contract

SUMMARY:

The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes \$594,000 in consultant funding for the Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program. The Regional Public Transportation Authority has indicated that \$47,346.63 will be remaining on the Federal FY 2006 Regional Rideshare Program Contract due to a timing issue with manufacturing and installing the Rideshare/Transit Info, Call 511 freeway signs. To complete this project in Federal FY 2007, it is necessary to amend the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the Federal FY 2007 Regional Rideshare Program Contract to add the \$47,346.63. This would revise the total funding for the Federal FY 2007 Regional Rideshare Program Contract to \$641,346.63.

PUBLIC INPUT:

No public input has been received.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: Amending the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the FY 2007 Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program Contract would make it possible for the Regional Public Transportation Authority to complete the project in Federal FY 2007.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The Federal FY 2006 Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program Contract included a work element to permit, manufacture, and install Rideshare/Transit Info, Call 511 freeway signs. Amending the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the Federal FY 2007 Regional Rideshare Program Contract to add the \$47,346.63 would enable the signs to be manufactured and installed in Federal FY 2007.

POLICY: Amending the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the Federal FY 2007 Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program Contract to add \$47,346.63 would enable the completion of the manufacturing and installation of the Rideshare/Transit Info, Call 511 freeway signs in Federal FY 2007. The signs would provide the public with convenient access to Rideshare/Transit and other travel information.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend amending the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the Federal FY 2007 Regional Public Transportation Authority Regional Rideshare Program Contract to add \$47,346.63.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

None.

CONTACT PERSON:

Julie Hoffman, MAG, 602-254-6300

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

Efforts to Address the Impact of Domestic Violence on Youth

SUMMARY:

The MAG Regional Domestic Violence Council and MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee (HSCC) Youth Policy Stakeholders Group are partnering on a project to address the impact of domestic violence on youth. The Youth Empowerment Project, funded by the Governor's Office, Division for Women, will promote messages developed by teens for teens about resources for healthy relationships. This item is presented for information and discussion in honor of October as Domestic Violence Awareness Month.

The Youth Empowerment Project aims to deliver accurate, accessible and age-appropriate resource information directly into the hands of teens. Their feedback has indicated that when teens experience domestic violence, either in the home or in the context of a dating relationship, they most frequently seek the assistance of their peers, rather than from adults. Implementation of the project will involve the development of a teen-specific resource list, recorded peer testimonials, and a fact sheet about the process and consequences of reporting violence. All of these materials will be made available online at a new website currently developed specifically for teens. The web address is www.WebofFriends.org.

PUBLIC INPUT:

MAG conducted 11 focus groups with youth in April and May 2006, to collect feedback about how domestic violence and teen dating violence directly impacts the lives of youth in our communities, and how teens feel the problem should be addressed. MAG also collected more than 400 written surveys from teens over the same period. Thirty-six members currently serve on the MAG Regional Domestic Violence Council, with more people attending as audience. These members include representatives from the municipalities, non-profit agencies, state agencies, advocacy organizations and the business sector. The MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee (HSCC) Youth Policy Stakeholders Group consists of 62 participants representing municipalities, state agencies, non-profit youth organizations, schools, and universities. Both MAG committees, as well as the feedback received from youth, served to inform the Youth Empowerment Project.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: Providing the information teens have reported they need most, via the Internet, will better prepare them to assist their peers, should a friend experiencing domestic violence approach them for support. The website will be marketed through public service announcements (PSAs), created by teens for a teen audience. The PSAs will be solicited in the context of an ad contest, and the winning entries will be professionally produced and marketed by MAG Communications staff.

CONS: In increasing awareness of domestic violence and teen dating violence among teens, as well as the services that are available locally to assist them, it is important to also raise support for the programs that offer critical services. Relatively few agencies provide services for teens facing domestic violence which do not require the consent of a parent or guardian. As awareness increases, these agencies may

face increased numbers of youth seeking services and be unable to accommodate the influx. Currently, there is limited funding to address these needs.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: It is difficult to quantify the number of children and adolescents who may be exposed to domestic violence, or violence in a dating relationship. National estimates range from 10 percent to 20 percent of children are at-risk of witnessing domestic violence in the home. Of this number, only a percentage will actively seek services. However, even a relatively small increase in the number of youth seeking services could easily overwhelm the capacity of local service providers who offer counseling and other types of services to children and adolescents coping with domestic violence related trauma.

POLICY: The activities of the Youth Empowerment Project are consistent with the 1999 MAG Regional Domestic Violence Plan, which recommended that all children, teenagers, and young adults be taught about domestic violence, and to implement educational activities both inside and outside of school settings. The activities are also consistent with the public recognition of domestic violence as an important issue. A 2005 survey conducted by the Behavior Research Center, in partnership with the Morrison Institute and MAG, indicated that 72 percent of residents in the MAG region consider domestic violence to be a major problem in their communities.

ACTION NEEDED:

Information and discussion.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

The MAG Regional Domestic Violence Council approved the youth focus group results and discussed the plans for the Youth Empowerment Project proposal on June 1, 2006.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale, Chair* Mark Armstrong, Maricopa Co Superior CourtTony Vidale for John A. Blackburn, Jr., AZ Criminal Justice CommissionJerry Boone, Maricopa County Department of Public HealthDena Salter for Jennifer Casaletto, Maricopa Medical CenterDebbie Nez for Chris Christy, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community* Gene D'Adamo, The Arizona RepublicJoAnn Del-Colle, Governor's OfficeMoises Gallegos for Phoenix Family Advocacy CenterMarqueta McSwain for Laura Guild, DESShannon Cotton for Cindy Hallman, Marley HouseMichael Donovan for Dan Hughes, SurpriseCmdr. Kim Humphrey, Phoenix, Public Affairs Bureau, Vice ChairCandace Johnson, Prehab of ArizonaAlice Gharib for Mary Lynn Kasunic, Area Agency on AgingJoe Kemp, Phoenix Police DepartmentPatricia Klahr, Chrysalis Shelter* Councilmember Phil Lieberman, Glendale | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Jodi Beckley Liggett, AZ Foundation for WomenCouncilmember Betty Lynch, AvondaleJoEllen Lynn, American Express* Carolyn McBurney, Envision Project ManagementBill Hart, Office of the Attorney GeneralLisa Melton, Community Legal ServicesMichael Parascandola, GoodyearCeleste Adams for Janice Parker, Save the Family FoundationKarla Houston for Don Peyton, Phoenix Fire Dept.Connie Phillips, Sojourner Center* John Pombier, Mesa* Councilmember Fred Scott, GoodyearFrankie Grimsman for Patricia Stevens, Maricopa County Attorney's Office* Judy Tapscott, TempeSherri Lauritano for Kerry G. Wangberg, Phoenix Prosecutor's Office* Dale Weibusch, Arizona Coalition Against Domestic ViolenceRick Ybarra, Value Options |
|---|--|

- + Those attending by video/audio conference
- * Those not present or represented by proxy

The MAG HSCC Youth Policy Stakeholders Group approved plans for the Youth Empowerment Project on May 24, 2006. They have provided monthly input on implementation since that time.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Danielle Medrano, Tolleson
Elizabeth Ditlevson, AZ Coalition Against
Domestic Violence
Cynthia Peters, Phoenix Parks and Recreation
Tahaziel Linare, Phoenix Parks and Recreation
Patricia Nightingale, Phoenix, HSD
Raul Daniels, Glendale

Vivian Avila, Glendale
Gia Cobb, Tumbleweed
Kim Bauman, Tempe
Richard Diaz, Prehab of Arizona
Dena Salter, MIHS
Chris Reams, Avondale
Lindsay Romasanta, Peer Solutions

CONTACT PERSON:

Amy St. Peter, MAG, 602-254-6300

The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) received an Innovative grant from the Governor's Office to help teenagers keep safe from domestic violence. Focus groups of teenagers were asked questions as to what THEY feel the need is in regard to domestic violence and dating violence. Teens said they want to help each other and to use the Internet to access information. Now the www.WebofFriends.org is being put together for all teens to access information about their questions, concerns, and need for support.

Teens said they want to be part of the solution in ending violence. This led to the idea to let the teenagers publicize information on dating violence. This plan is now being put into action. The contest is to submit entries in five categories: video, audio, print, web page design, and storyboard.

The entries will be judged on their effectiveness, and the winners will have their piece professionally produced and distributed. The goal is to help teens to be more comfortable with reporting violence and learning new skills to keep themselves safe. Both help bring awareness to a very real problem and offer solutions to them.

***Create a message for teens,
by teens.***

***Get Involved!
Win Great Prizes!***

***Enter the Maricopa
Association of
Governments Ad Contest
for Teens!***



- **The deadline for all entries is January 2, 2007 by noon.**
- **Entries may be submitted by email or US Mail.**
- **Many exciting prizes will be awarded.**
- **First place prize is the professional production of the winning entry in each of the five categories.**
- **Please answer the questionnaire with your application and submit it with your entry.**

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS **INFORMATION SUMMARY...for your review**

DATE:

September 26, 2006

SUBJECT:

FY 2007 MAG Early Phase Public Input Opportunity

SUMMARY:

The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) conducts a four-phase public involvement process: Early Phase, Mid-Phase, Final Phase and Continuous Involvement. The FY 2007 Early Phase Input Opportunity was conducted from August through September and is the initial opportunity for input prior to the development of the Draft FY 2008-2012 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). During the Early Phase Public Input Opportunity, MAG participated in and cosponsored events with the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), Regional Public Transportation Authority (Valley Metro), and Valley Metro Rail (METRO). Various forums for input were used during the FY 2007 Early Phase Input Opportunity. MAG received public comment at all MAG policy committees during the phase. In addition, MAG also received comment via telephone and online correspondence. MAG hosted an Early Phase Transportation Stakeholders Open House and Meeting at the MAG offices on Friday, August 18, 2006. Staff from ADOT, Valley Metro and METRO were on hand to provide information, respond to comments and answer questions at the open house. MAG also staffed an information booth at the Chicanos Por La Causa Business Seminar in Spanish on Tuesday, September 12, 2006. MAG again joined with ADOT and Valley Metro on Tuesday, September 19 and Thursday, September 21, 2006, to staff an information booth at two I-17 Road Shows. Staff was on hand to provide information, respond to comments and answer questions related to the construction of projects along the I-17 corridor.

PUBLIC INPUT:

Input was received throughout the Early Phase Input Opportunity and is included in the attached Draft FY 2007 Early Phase Input Opportunity Report.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: The FY 2007 Early Phase Public Input Opportunity provides an opportunity for the public to provide comment on transportation plans and programs prior to approval by MAG policy committees, in accordance with federal law. The input process also provides information regarding the meeting process, content, and results to participants, staff, decision makers, federal agencies and other interested parties.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: This input will be considered in the development of the Draft FY 2008-2012 Transportation Improvement Program.

POLICY: MAG adopted an expanded public involvement process for the annual update of MAG transportation plans and programs, in accordance with the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21). The public involvement process is divided into four phases: early input, mid-phase, final phase and continuous involvement. The Early Phase process fulfills both the federal requirements and MAG policy, while the report conveys these results to policymakers.

ACTION NEEDED:

Information and discussion.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

None.

CONTACT PERSON:

Jason Stephens, MAG Public Involvement Planner, (602) 254-6300.